

### KING COUNTY

### **Signature Report**

August 1, 2000

Motion 10986

**Proposed No.** 2000-0423.2 Sponsors Fimia 1 A MOTION related to transit service allocation and 2 performance evaluation. 3 4 5 WHEREAS, due to increased travel and increased population, transportation in 6 the Urban Growth Areas of the central Puget Sound area is becoming increasingly 7 expensive in terms of money, time and personal safety; and 8 WHEREAS, the resources which King County and its residents can dedicate to 9 the cost of transportation are limited, and 10 WHEREAS, the increasing costs of existing transportation systems encourage 11 increasing the use of modes other than the single-occupant motor vehicle whenever and 12 wherever reasonable, and 13 WHEREAS, metropolitan King County seeks efficient use of its resources and its 14 residents' resources in order to get good value, and 15 WHEREAS, increased usage of Metro transit services instead of single-occupant 16 vehicles is appropriate due to a host of environmental reasons, including reduction of

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

## Motion 10986

| 17 | fossil fuel use, reduction of impervious surface area due to reduced need to construct new    |
|----|---|
| 18 | roadway lanes, and reduction of pollutants in storm water, and                                |
| 19 | WHEREAS, large numbers of King County residents are unable to use single-                     |
| 20 | occupant motor vehicles for transportation, or are unwilling to do so for a variety of good   |
| 21 | reasons, and many of those residents rely on the provision of transit services in order to    |
| 22 | be mobile within our communities, and   |
| 23 | WHEREAS, all King County residents contribute toward the revenue necessary to                 |
| 24 | provide the great bulk of Metro transit funding through the payment of 0.6 percent sales      |
| 25 | tax on purchases within King County, and  |
| 26 | WHEREAS, those King County residents who use Metro transit services pay a                     |
| 27 | portion of the cost of providing those services in fares, and                                 |
| 28 | WHEREAS, those King County residents who are willing to spend their time                      |
| 29 | using Metro transit services should be provided with service where it is financially          |
| 30 | reasonable and practical to do so, in recognition of the value of their time as well as their |
| 31 | financial contributions to the services;  |
| 32 | NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:                                    |
| 33 | Before transmitting an update of the Transit Six-Year Development Plan, the                   |
| 34 | transit division is requested to submit a report on options for targeting transit service     |
| 35 | investments in support of systemwide goals. The report should provide alternatives for        |
| 36 | accomplishing this, including those that may modify either the transit service evaluation     |
| 37 | guidelines or the policy on operating subsidy allocation, or both. In addition to one or      |
| 38 | more alternatives reflecting adopted subarea allocation policy, the alternatives analyzed     |
| 39 | should include one or more that reduces or eliminates the role of geographic sub-areas in     |

2

# Motion 10986

| 40 | service allocation while focusing service investments on systemwide goals. The               |
|----|--|
| 41 | objectives to be embodied in the various alternatives analyzed should include the            |
| 42 | following, as well as others that may be identified by the division in consultation with the |
| 43 | regional transit committee:  |
| 44 | A. Optimize the usage of the Metro transit system by:  |
| 45 | 1. Expending farebox revenues on the routes where they are generated; and                    |
| 46 | 2. Expending operating subsidy in support of:  |
| 47 | a. a basic level of service throughout the urban growth area;                                |
| 48 | b. improved service levels where utilization of current transit capacity                     |
| 49 | indicates high degree of rider commitment, and   |
| 50 | c. service that reflects the per-capita taxpayer contribution to transit revenues;           |
| 51 | B. Maximize system ridership through the provision of high-quality, cost-                    |
| 52 | effective service;   |
| 53 | C. Provide system accessibility for the transit dependent; and                               |

3

#### Motion 10986

55 D. Support growth management objectives and countywide planning policies

56 calling for high frequency service connections to designated urban centers and

57 manufacturing centers.

58

54

Motion 10986 was introduced on and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 7/31/00, by the following vote:

Yes: 10 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Miller, Ms. Fimia, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Pelz, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Pullen, Mr. Gossett, Mr. Vance and Mr. Irons No: 0

Excused: 3 - Mr. McKenna, Mr. Nickels and Ms. Hague

OUNTY C ICIL IGTON NG CO NTY. WASHI

Pete von Reichbauer, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Attachments

None